

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BOONE COUNTY, MISSOURI**

In re the Marriage of:  
Sheena E. Greitens and  
Eric R. Greitens

SHEENA E. GREITENS,  
Petitioner,

v.

ERIC. R. GREITENS,  
Respondent.

Case No. 20BA-FC00579

**SUPPLEMENTAL SUGGESTIONS**

Respondent, (hereinafter “Father”) submits these supplemental Suggestions in response to the numerous Exhibits filed by Petitioner (hereinafter “Mother”) on or about April 1, 2022. In addition, Father submits contradictory and exculpatory evidence readily available to both parties if Mother was committed to “the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.<sup>1</sup>” Instead, Mother has shown an affinity for self -serving filings, but an aversion to the truth.

These Suggestions and exhibits herein refute the allegations Mother placed before the consuming public and the Court. Given that all of Mother’s allegations against Father are alleged to have occurred prior to the dissolution, little weight should be accorded them. The judgment clearly reflects the parties considered the role both parents played in the children's lives prior to the separation and following divorce. This Court, and Texas courts, ultimately trust the parents to fairly evaluate their parenting efforts pre-separation in order to assess how each would behave in the future<sup>2</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> All exhibits referenced herein have been submitted under cover of separate correspondence with counsel for Petitioner so as to maintain some semblance of privacy in the family’s personal affairs.

<sup>2</sup> See *Wennihan v. Wennihan*, 452 S.W.3d 723 (Mo. App. W.D. 2015).

In May of 2020, at the conclusion of the divorce, Mother swore under oath that she had disclosed all material facts related to the welfare of her sons. It was at this time Mother signed the joint parenting plan, which allocates father a majority of the boys' free time. If Mother's Exhibit 2, filed March 21, 2022, is to be believed, it follows she committed perjury in May of 2020 by concealing abuse and testifying falsely about material facts under oath.

A principle of folk logic is that one cannot prove a negative or prove something did not happen. This is especially true when the allegations preceded the divorce and were not contemporaneously reported to law enforcement or healthcare professionals. Logicians most often use 'the law of non-contradiction' to construct a valid argument that would yield the same conclusion that Father has previously submitted under oath: no abuse occurred. Pursuant to the law of non-contradiction, 'a proposition cannot be both true and not true'.

As of May 2020, assets were divided, parents agreed to split the costs of the children 50/50 (which evidence shows they have done for two years), and Mother swore that Father was good enough to share joint legal and physical custody of the children. Then again in July 2021, Mother did not plead domestic acts of violence toward any household member. The contemporaneous sworn statements of Mother would suggest that her filing of March 31, 2022, including the thirty (30) exhibits attached thereto, are in support of an intense desire to continue believing whatever she believes. Belief, however, is no substitute for the truth.

Joshua (7) and Jacob (5) are a combination of their parents. They show a natural ease and comfort with Father.<sup>3</sup> Blessed with keen intellect for their age, the boys take readily to rough play

---

<sup>3</sup> Exhibit A. A photo of the children with Father taken by Mother October 31, 2019.

and imagined contests.<sup>4</sup> They enjoy generally good health and see their physician for routine illnesses common to their age.

Mother has falsely claimed that abuse occurred in November of 2019. However, mother never sought medical care for her son for what she now claims was abuse. In fact, her son's only visit to the doctor that month was on November 9, 2019, when Joshua was seen at Tiger Pediatrics for an "itchy ear." The PE tubes appeared to be working their way out. The final report indicated a normal upper respiratory flora. Tests for strep throat were negative.<sup>5</sup> There were no signs of trauma or injury. He was next seen on December 16, 2019, for bilateral ear pain. Itchy ears were reported and frequent mouth opening in order to "pop" ears was observed. Again, no report of trauma or injury of any kind. Joshua's next visit to the doctor was on May 14, 2020, for an abscess on his inner lip.<sup>6</sup> The clinician noted there was no pain with teeth or fever. His left first incisor was slightly gray and the abscess above the right first incisor drained a bit of pus when pushed. Joshua was diagnosed with a dental abscess and treated with Amoxicillin. His physical exam was unremarkable with no signs of trauma or injury, and he was referred to a dentist<sup>7</sup>. At no time during any medical visit did Mother mention or allege that Father had abused Joshua. In fact, mother never mentioned abuse to any dentist, doctor, or court official until filing her affidavit on March 21, 2022.

When Joshua was presented for treatment at Columbia Dentistry for Children on May 14, 2020, Mother included *her own opinion* that the cause of the condition was from 'roughhousing' with Father, and she reported that he had a "bumped upper lip".

---

<sup>4</sup> Exhibit B. A video of boys engaged in a pillow fight.

<sup>5</sup> Exhibit C. Medical report.

<sup>6</sup> Exhibit D. Medical report.

<sup>7</sup> Exhibit H. Dental exam notes.

During this same time-frame Mother and Father were communicating through Our Family Wizard, a platform widely used by divorced parents. Following the office visit on May 14, 2020, Mother emailed Father with a status update on the tooth extraction.<sup>8</sup> Once again, Mother did not voice any complaint to Father about the proximate cause of the presenting condition.

On June 9, 2020, on the heels of Joshua's six-month follow-up with Dr. Scheidt, his ENT,<sup>9</sup> Mother complained about Father allowing Joshua to swim in a lake without ear plugs and the ineffectiveness of the medication previously prescribed by his pediatrician for his ear condition. In that email Mother again states her belief that the proximate cause of the dental problem was roughhousing with Joshua. By October 13, 2020, the parenting concern previously expressed had morphed into a 'second head injury'<sup>10</sup>, and mother said, "It seems like the boys are playing too rough." By the time Mother's Exhibit 2 was filed, the parenting concerns previously described had evolved into an allegation of child abuse.

Father plans to submit to the court over 50 photographs and 20 videos—all from October 31, 2019 until December 1, 2019—which show absolutely no sign of abuse or trauma of any kind for either son. In addition, mother's own photographs which she sent to father in relation to this incident regarding Joshua's tooth, show no signs of abuse or trauma of any kind. *Mother's own photographs* are included here as another exhibit.<sup>11</sup>

In Mother's April 1, 2022, court filing she claimed she shared this allegation of abuse with the mediator. Mother swore and affirmed, to the best of her knowledge and belief, that these allegations were reported to "multiple lawyers, therapists, and our mediator.". When litigants

---

<sup>8</sup> Exhibit G. OFW message.

<sup>9</sup> Exhibit E. OFW message.

<sup>10</sup> Exhibit F. OFW message.

<sup>11</sup> Exhibit A.

submit a sworn affidavit or pleading in this State, they do so under penalty of perjury that the contents of the document are "...complete, true, accurate, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I am aware of the criminal penalties for perjury and false affidavits under RSMO. 575.050 which provide for imprisonment up to five years and/or fine of up to \$5,000.00." In fact, Mother did not share this allegation of abuse with the mediator. If mother had reported abuse or suspected abuse to a mediator, said mediator was legally bound to report it. No such report was made.

Both father and mother have sworn under oath that they disclosed all material facts related to the welfare of their sons. Mother has now sworn in Exhibit 2 claims which are in direct contradiction to her previously sworn affidavit. To believe mother's new allegations, one also has to believe:

1. That Mother committed perjury in 2020, when she swore under oath to the exact opposite.
2. That Mother committed a crime in 2019 when she failed to report suspected abuse. (Mother was herself a mandatory reporter and would have been legally obligated to report such abuse.)
3. That Mediator is guilty of the crime of not reporting abuse.
4. That Mother failed to report suspected abuse to any doctor, dentist, law enforcement officer, teacher, school official, therapist, or other mandatory reporter, for years.
5. That Mother lied to the dentist in May, 2020, when she told the dentist that her son "bumped his upper lip" roughhousing.
6. That Mother failed to take her child to the doctor in 2019 when she now claims abuse occurred.
7. That Mother lied in two separate emails in which she described the alleged abuse incident as roughhousing.
8. That the over 50 photographs and over 20 videos of Father with boys from November, 2019 which show happy, healthy boys, fully engaged with a loving father, somehow fail to capture what mother now claims is abuse.

9. That somehow Mother's *own* photographs, which show a child's normal mouth and which mother sent to father, were somehow doctored *by the Mother* to conceal abuse.

10. That Mother's everchanging story about her work with political operatives is somehow true: First she claimed she did not discuss the allegations in Exhibit 2 with any political operative. Now, in her latest affidavit, she says only that Karl Rove did not write her Exhibit 2. Similarly, at first Mother said she did not work with political operatives. Now, in her latest filing, she claims only that she did not talk with them until March 16, 2022, five days before the allegations became a national story, affording Father only minutes to respond.

There is arguably no more heinous accusation than that of child abuse. How mother wrapped herself in this twisted web, and who may have helped her in its weaving, is subject to pending discovery. And yet here that false accusation was made, distributed to the press, and subsequently reinforced with another legal filing. If you believe her now, you believe that she committed the crime of perjury in 2020 and the crime of failing to report abuse in 2019. If you believe her then, you believe her guilty of perjury now, and of falsely accusing the children's father and the mediator of crimes today.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned respectfully requests the Court to enter an order compelling Mother and Father to re-engage with Lynne Harris, LPC, LCSW for her assistance in resolving their dispute(s).

WALTHER, ANTEL & STAMPER, P.C.

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary L. Stamper, #29904  
700 Cherry Street  
P.O. Box 7686  
Columbia, MO 65205  
(573) 442-2454

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT