

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BOONE COUNTY, MISSOURI

In re the marriage of:
Sheena E. Greitens and
Eric R. Greitens.

SHEENA E. GREITENS,
Petitioner,

Case No. 20BA-FC00579

v.

ERIC R. GREITENS,
Respondent.

**PETITIONER'S AFFIDAVIT REQUESTING
DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE PURSUANT TO RULE 68.14**

COMES NOW Petitioner who submits the following Affidavit, upon her oath, for this Court to enter judgment in this case pursuant to Local Rule 68.14 and without the necessity of personal appearances:

1. The Petition for Dissolution of Marriage was filed on or about the 13th day of April, 2020, in the Office of the Circuit Clerk.
2. Thirty days have passed following the filing of Respondent's Counsel's Entry of Appearance herein.
3. Petitioner and Respondent are, and have been, residents of the State of Missouri for more than 90 days next preceding the filing of the original Petition.
4. Both Petitioner and Respondent are over eighteen years of age.
5. Petitioner and Respondent reside in Boone County, Missouri.
6. Petitioner's Social Security Number is in the Court's file and she is currently employed at the University of Missouri, in Columbia, Missouri.
7. Respondent's Social Security Number is in the Court's file and he is currently self-employed.
8. Petitioner is represented by Helen L. Wade.
9. Respondent is represented by Gary Stamper.

10. The parties were married on or about June 7, 2011 in Spokane, WA, and the marriage is registered in Spokane County, WA.

11. Petitioner and Respondent separated in June, 2018.

12. Petitioner believes that there is no reasonable likelihood that the marriage can be preserved and, therefore, it is irretrievably broken.

13. Petitioner is not on active duty with the Armed Forces of the United States of America or its allies.

14. Respondent is not on active duty with the Armed Forces of the United States of America or its allies.

15. Petitioner is not now pregnant.

16. Petitioner waives any claim for maintenance from Respondent; she acknowledges that this waiver precludes the Court from subsequently ordering spousal maintenance.

17. There were two (2) children born of this marriage, to-wit: J ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
A ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~, d.o.b. ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ and J ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ A ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~, d.o.b. ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

18. With respect to the minor child(ren) described in Paragraph 18 hereof, Petitioner states:

- a. The present residence address of the said minor children is with Petitioner residing with her at her address in Columbia, Boone County, Missouri, and with Respondent residing with him at his address in Columbia, Boone County, Missouri or in Innsbrook, Warren County, Missouri.
- b. Petitioner has no knowledge of any proceeding concerning said minor children pending in a court of this or any other state, she is aware of no person who is not a party to this proceeding who has physical custody of said minor children, or who claims to have any custodial or visitation rights with respect to said minor children.

19. It is in the best interest of the said minor children for the parties to be awarded joint legal and joint physical custody pursuant to the Joint Parenting Plan filed herein.

12/15/2000

12/15/2000

12/15/2000

12/15/2000

12/15/2000

20. It is in the best interest of the said minor children for the parties to be awarded joint legal and joint physical custody pursuant to the Joint Parenting Plan filed herein.

21. Petitioner's future address in Austin, TX will be designated as that of the children for mailing and educational purposes. Petitioner specifically acknowledges that, by agreement, she is relocating the principal residence of the children to Austin, TX and that this is not a relocation which falls within the ambit of RSMo 452.377.

22. Neither party will pay periodic child support to the other.

23. There is no genuine issue as to any material fact.

24. The parties have entered into a written Separation and Property Settlement Agreement which provides for the division of all of the parties' marital and non-marital property and debts. Said Agreement is fair and not unconscionable, but should not be incorporated into the Judgment and Decree.

25. Petitioner and Respondent have entered into a Joint Parenting Plan which the parties believe to be in the best interest of the minor children. Petitioner and Respondent request that the Court incorporate the terms of the Joint Parenting Plan in the Judgment of Dissolution.

26. Petitioner requests that this dissolution of marriage be granted without the necessity of court appearance and pursuant to local court rule.

27. Petitioner shall pay all fees billed by Helen L. Wade; Respondent shall pay all fees billed by Gary Stamper. Petitioner and Respondent shall equally divide the fees billed by Lynne Harris and Gary Sprick which have not yet been paid.

28. Court costs are to be paid equally by Petitioner and Respondent by consent.

DocuSigned by:
Sheena Greitens
6D1C6B14ED17487
Sheena E. Greitens, Petitioner

